



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,652	12/30/2003	Geon-Ook Park	OPP031475US	6900
36872 759	90 08/03/2006		EXAMINER	
THE LAW OF	FICES OF ANDREW	TOBERGTE, NICHOLAS J		
	401 W FALLBROOK AVE STE 204 FRESNO, CA 93711-5835			PAPER NUMBER
111251.10, 011			2823	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Autieur Occurrence	10/748,652	PARK, GEON-OOK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nicholas J. Tobergte	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<u>June 2006</u> .					
,-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 9</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) Dobjected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	•	word				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not recei	vea.				
Attachment(s)	F					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Drainsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. This withdraws the advisory action filed 7/13/06. The amendments filed 6/28/06 will now be entered and prosecution will be continued with a new non-final rejection. This was discussed in a phone interview with the Applicant on 7/19/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 2, 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 6,008,526) and further in view of Kao et al (US 20030170964 A1) and Chou et al (US 5,933,748).

Pertaining to claims 7 and 2, Kim teaches a method for forming a trench in a semiconductor device comprising:

forming a pad oxide film 2 and a silicon nitride film 4 on a semiconductor substrate 1;

Art Unit: 2823

selectively etching the silicon nitride film 4 and the pad oxide film 2 on a region to be formed with a trench Col 2 lines 28-31;

implanting oxygen ions into the semiconductor substrate in the region to be formed with the trench Col 3 lines 50-56;

forming an oxide in the semiconductor substrate by reacting the oxygen ions with the semiconductor substrate through a thermal diffusion of the oxygen ions **Col 3 lines**42-48:

forming the trench by etching the semiconductor substrate and the oxide on the region to be formed with the trench using the silicon nitride film as a mask Col 2 lines 26-31 and Fig 2B;

forming a liner oxide film 8 on an inner wall of the trench using a thermal diffusion process Col 2 lines 34-35

wherein an edge at which a side and a bottom of the trench intersect has a curved surface. See Fig 2B.

Kim fails to teach wherein the mask used in the etch is the silicon nitride film.

Kim fails to teach wherein the substrate is comprised of a silicon substrate.

Kim fails to teach forming an insulation film on the liner oxide film such that the trench is filled, wherein an oxide film is formed on an entire top surface including the silicon nitride film and the trench such that the trench is filled, and then the oxide film is chemically and mechanically polished until the silicon nitride film is exposed

Art Unit: 2823

Kao teaches the use of a silicon nitride mask when etching a trench in an ion implanted semiconductor substrate. [0027-0033]. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Kao to the process of Kim. The motivation for doing this would be to decrease the number of photoresist masking layers as well as utilize a mask that is impermeable to implanted ions such as a silicon nitride mask [0033].

Kao teaches the use of a silicon substrate [0025]. Kao discloses that silicon is a typical semiconductor substrate material, and therefore would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose such a well known and obvious material in the art at the time the invention was made.

Chou teaches forming an insulation film on the liner oxide film such that the trench is filled, wherein an oxide film is formed on an entire top surface including the silicon nitride film and the trench such that the trench is filled, and then the oxide film is chemically and mechanically polished until the silicon nitride film is exposed. **Col 4 lines 48-65**. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Chou with that of Kim, the reason is that some oxide layers require a densification process, which is why the oxide layer is formed to cover the entire device and the trench allowing it to sit before being removed via a CMP. **Col 4 lines 48-65**.

Art Unit: 2823

Pertaining to claim 3 Kim teaches the method of claim 2, wherein, in selectively etching

the oxidation blocking layer 34 and the pad oxide film 32, a first photosensitive film

pattern 36 for exposing the oxidation blocking layer on the region to be formed with the

trench is formed by applying, exposing, and developing a photosensitive film on the

oxidation blocking layer, and then the oxidation blocking layer and the pad oxide film

exposed are selectively etched using the first photosensitive film pattern as a mask See

Fig 4A.

Kim fails to explicitly point out that silicon nitride is an oxidation blocking layer.

Kao teaches that silicon nitride is used as an oxidation blocking layer [0033].

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to expose the trench using a photosensitive film on the oxidation blocking

layer (silicon nitride film) and the pad oxide layer, the motivation being that this is a

conventional photolithography process known in the art at the time the invention was

made.

Pertaining to claim 8, given the teaching of the references, it would have been obvious

to determine the optimum thickness, temperature as well as condition of delivery of the

layers involved. See In re Aller, Lacey and Hall (10 USPQ 233-237) "It is not inventive

to discover optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Art Unit: 2823

a #

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed ranges or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any differences in the claimed invention and the prior art may be expected to result in some differences in properties. The issue is whether the properties differ to such an extent that the difference is really unexpected. In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicants have the burden of explaining the data in any declaration they proffer as evidence of non-obviousness. Ex parte Ishizaka, 24 USPQ2d 1621, 1624 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992).

An Affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Burckel, 592 F.2d 1175, 201 USPQ 67 (CCPA 1979).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1, 5 and 9 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2823

.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas J. Tobergte whose telephone number is 571-272-6006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thur 7am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NJT

MICHELLE ESTRADA PRIMARY EXAMINER